Influence of the season on nutritional and metabolic indicators of grazing cattle in the North of Mexico

O. Reyes¹, M. Murillo¹, Esperanza Herrera¹, E. Gutierrez², A. S. Juárez¹ and Andrea Cerrillo¹

¹Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia. Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango. Carretera Durango-Mezquital km 11.5, Durango. México ²Facultad de Agronomía. Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Carretera Zuazua-Marin km 17

Email: reyesosvaldo@hotmail.com

In grazing cattle, the nutritive quality, digestion and ruminal fermentation of the diet, blood glucose levels (GLU), urea nitrogen (UN) and non-sterified fatty acids (NSFA) were evaluated during the dry and rainy seasons. An analysis of variance according to a complete random block designs (CRBD) and with successive measurements was applied. Dry matter intake (DMC), organic matter intake (OMC), digestible energy (DEC), metabolizable energy (MEC), passage rate (Kp), and mean ruminal retention time of the diet were affected by the season of the year (P < 0.05). The crude protein (CP) and the effective protein degradation (EPD) of the diet, as well as the concentrations of ruminal ammonia nitrogen (N-NH₃) and UN were higher in the rainy than in the dry season (P < 0.01). The contents of neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and the effective NDF degradation were also different between both periods of the year (P < 0.01). Similarly, the concentrations of acetic, propionic and butyric acids differed between seasons (P < 0.01). GLU concentrations were higher in the rainy season compared to the dry season (P < 0.01), while NSFA were higher during the latter (P < 0.05). Nutrient deficiencies recorded suggest the need of feeding supplementation for the animals, mainly protein and energy, during the dry season.

Key words: cattle, degradation, glucose, urea-nitrogen, NSFA

In the Northern region of Mexico, the nutritive quality of the diet chosen for grazing ruminants depends on the season of the year. For this reason, the evaluation of the quality of the diet is considered of great importance, taking into account the season of the year, in order to establish adequately strategic feeding supplementation programs for this species. This type of assessment must be complemented with studies of ruminal degradation and fermentation of the diet selected, as well as with the analysis of the blood concentrations of some metabolites (GLU), UN and NSFA which are, probably, the most affected by the season of the year (Juárez et al. 2008). According to Preston and Leng (1990), the high values of dry matter ruminal degradation are associated with the potential of the ruminants for maintaining adequate production levels, while the blood levels of GLU, UN and NSFA allow to assess the nutritional status of the grazing cattle (Romero et al. 2007).

The study was aimed to evaluate the influence of the season of the year on the nutritive quality, digestion and ruminal fermentation of the diet and its effect on some blood metabolites in grazing cattle.

Materials and Methods

Description and botanical composition of the area under study. The experiment was conducted in a medium size grassland with fruit trees and a forage production of 1796 kg of DM/ha, localized between 104° 3' of West longitude and 24° 22' of North latitude at 1 938 m a.s.l., dry BS1K climate, temperate with rainfall in the summer, with an annual mean temperature and precipitation of 17.5° C and 450 mm, respectively (INEGI 2003). The botanical composition of the area under study was estimated by the minimum sampling area with located points (Franco *et al.* 1985). The dominant pasture species were *Melinis repens* Willd (zacate rosado), *Chloris virgata*, (zacate mota), *Bouteloua gracilis* (zacate navajita), *Aristida adscencionis* (tres barbas annual) and *Andropogon barbinodis* (popotillo plateado). Also de shrub-like *Acacia tortuosa* (huizache), *Prosopis juliflora* (mesquite), *Opuntia spp*. (nopales) and *Mimosa biuncifera* (gatuño), were included as well as a great variety of annual grasses.

Animals, periods and sampling procedures. Four Brangus steers, rumen fistulated, of 350 ± 3 kg LW, and ten Charolais calves, of 210 ± 10 kg LW, from the herd grazing the area under study, were used. Each sampling period lasted twelve days, in the dry season (February, March, April and May) and in the rainy period (July, August, September and October) of 2008. In the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd, day of each sampling period the dry matter (DMC) and organic matter (OMC) intakes were estimated from total feces production (TFP) and of the non-digestible diet fraction (Villanueva et al. 2003). For the estimation of TFP, chromium sesquioxide was used as marker (10 g/animal/d). In the 4th, 5th and 6th day, samples of the diet and of the ruminal content were obtained according to the technique of ruminal evacuation (Cline et al. 2009). From diet samples taken from the rumen OM, CP (AOAC 1999), NDF, ADF, lignin (L), acid ash insoluble in acid detergent (AAI) (van Soest *et al.* 1991); *in vitro* dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and organic matter (IVOMD)(Huntington and Burns 2007), chromium to the feces (Jordon *et al.* 2002) were determined. Digestible (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME) of the diet (Waterman et al. 2007) were

estimated. The passage rate (Kp) and the mean ruminal retention time (MRRT) were calculated according to the methodology of Ogden et al. (2005). From the 7th day of each period, in situ degradation was determined by bag incubation, with 10 g of forage sample in the steers' rumen, at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72 and 96 h interval. With the model proposed by Orskov and McDonald (1979) the indicators "a" (soluble fraction), "b" (potentially degradable fraction), "c" (constant degradation rate of "b") and a + b (potential degradation, PD), were estimated. With the model ED = (a + b* c)/(c + Kp) the effective DM degradation of DM (EDMD), CP (EDCP) and NDF (EDNDF) were determined.

The A and B fractions of the NDF were estimated. Particle losses by bag washing were represented with A. The fermentable insoluble fraction was represented with B, defined as B = (a + b) - A (Khazaal *et al.* 1995). The 11th and 12th day of each period and before the beginning of grazing (7:30 a.m.), 30 mL of rumen liquor were taken and the pH was immediately measured. The 10 mL sub-samples were separated for determining later the volatile fatty acids (VFA) and N-NH₂ (Abdelhadi and Santini 2006). Once this process finished, the animals left for grazing. The described procedure was repeated at 4, 8 and 12 h after grazing started. The 12th day blood samples (12 mL) were taken to the ten Charolais calves through the puncture of the jugular vein using Vacutainer, without anticoagulant. Samples were centrifuged at 1700 rpm for 20 min for obtaining serum and they were maintained under freezing conditions at -20°C until their analysis (Obeidat et al. 2002). Concentrations of GLU, UN and NSFA in serum were determined with the commercial packages (2614 for GLU; Ureasa/ Berhelot 640 for UN and 115 for NSFA, of RANDOX). In the determinations of GLU, UN and NSFA (essays, n = 4) were obtained CV within the essays of 1.8, 2.4 and 4.3%, respectively.

Statistical analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) were carried out for complete random block designs, where the seasons of the year were considered as Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science, Volume 46, Number 4, 2012. treatments and the months included in each season, as blocks. The variables measured by time were submitted to an ANOVA for a design of complete random blocks with repeated measurements, including the effects of season, animal, time of the day and their respective interactions (Litell et al. 1996). In the data analysis the procedures GLM, MIXED and NLIN of SAS (2003) were used.

Results and Discussion

Nutritive quality. The crude protein of the diet was 52.8% higher in the rainy season regarding the dry season (P < 0.01) (table 1). However, the contents of NDF, ADF and L were 14.1, 12.8 and 33.8%, respectively higher in the dry season compared the rainy season (P < 0.01). The contents of IVDMD, IVOMD, DE and ME were higher in the rainy season (P < 0.05). And rade *et al*. (2009) reported similar results, indicating that the nutritive quality of the diet of grazing cattle was higher in the rainy period. Variations between seasons regarding nutritive quality of the selected diet by the animals, could be attributed to the phenologic phase of the grasslands, since in the region the maturity and forage latency are normally found between March and July.

Intake, passage rate and ruminal retention time. DMC, OMC, DEC and EMC, Kp and MRRT were affected by the season of the year (P < 0.05 (table 2). DMC, of 5.6 and 7.7 kg/d in grazing cattle, reported by Soltero et al (1988) in the dry and rainy seasons, respectively, were higher than those obtained in this study. These differences could be attributed to the fiber contents of the diet consumed by the animals (Sowell et al. 2003). The Kp of the diet recorded in the rainy season was the fastest (P < 0.05), coinciding with a lower MRRT registered in this period (P < 0.05). The lower fiber content of the diet consumed in the rainy season, compared to the dry season consumption could account for this result, since the fibrous particles are more resistant to ruminal degradation (Bhatti et al. 2008).

In situ dry matter degradation. The values of a, b, c,

Indicator	Season		SE 1	C:-
Indicator	Dry	Rainy	- SE±	Sig
OM (%)	91.0	89.3	1.10	NS
CP (%)	4.9	10.4	0.53	**
NDF (%)	74.9	64.3	0.96	**
ADF (%)	56.3	46.7	0.86	**
L (%)	7.1	4.7	0.88	**
In vitro DM digestibility (%)	61.1	68.9	1.06	*
In vitro OM digestibility (%)	59.2	67.3	1.11	*
Digestible energy (MJ/kg DM)	9.2	10.4	1.21	*
Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg DM)	7.5	8.4	2.88	*

Table 1. Nutritive quality of the diet of grazing cattle in the two seasons of the year

< 0.05 **P < 0.01

Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science, Volume 46, Number 4, 2012.

Indicator	Season		CE I	Sia
Indicator	Dry	Rainy	- SE±	Sig
DM intake (kg/d)	4.5	6.7	1.80	*
OM intake (kg/d)	4.2	6.4	1.30	*
DE intake (MJ/ d)	25.9	50.1	4.09	*
ME intake (MJ/ d)	21.7	38.3	2.76	*
Passage rate (%/h)	1.6	2.5	0.97	*
Mean ruminal retention time (h)	60.0	39.7	1.20	*

Table 2. Intake, rate of passage and mean ruminal retention time of the diet of grazing cattle in two seasons of the year

a+b and EDDM were higher in the rainy season compared to the dry one (P < 0.01) (table 3). These differences could be attributed to the NDF contents affecting ruminal DM digestion of the diet of grazing ruminants (Ramírez *et al.* 2004). Reyes (2005) reported similar results to those obtained in this study and indicated that in the dry season the increase of lignocellulosic compounds in the cell wall blocks the action of the ruminal microorganisms to degrade perfectly the DM of the forages.

In situ protein degradation. In this study, the values of a, b, c, a + b and EDCP in the rainy season were higher than in the dry season (P < 0.01) (table 4), and similar to those reported by Peyro (2006) for both seasons of the year. The lignin-carbohydrate complex could account for the differences found between seasons. This, on advancing the maturity hinders the activity of the microbial proteases to degrade the available forage protein (Foster et al. 2007). In the "a" soluble fraction, a considered criterion for determining if this is in an acceptable range so that the ruminal N-NH₃ is well assimilated by the ruminal microorganisms is that it does not exceed 40% of the EDCP of the forage (AFRC 1993). In the evaluated seasons, the mean value of the soluble protein "a" fraction represented, approximately, 45.9% of the EDCP of the diet consumed. This indicates that the ammonia nitrogen capture capacity by the ruminal microorganisms was slightly surpassed.

In situ NDF degradation. The A, B, A + B and EDNDF fractions were different between seasons

of the year (P < 0.01) (table 5). The NDF portions incorporated in the "A" fraction are more associated with losses of small particles in the bags than with the solubility of the components of the cell wall (Ogden *et al.* 2005). Consequently, the NDF washing losses could be attributed to the different lignin contents of the forage consumed in both periods of the year (Flores *et al.* 2007). Differences observed in the values of B, PD and EDNDF could be explained by the phenological status of the grassland due to the fact that these fractions are affected by the maturity of the pasture (Ramírez *et al.* 2004). The constant NDF degradation rate was faster in the rainy season (P < 0.05), but it was lower than that reported by Funk *et al.* (1987) in the diet consumed by grazing cattle (4.2% h).

Ruminal fermentation patterns. Since there were no interaction between seasons of the year and time of the day in the ruminal fermentation variables, only the averages through time are shown (table 6). The highest ruminal pH value was recorded in the dry season (6.6) differing from that of the rainy season (6.3) (P < 0.05). For Elías *et al* (2000), the pH values are in an acceptable range for the optimum rumen microbial activity. The concentrations of N-NH₃, recorded in the rainy season were higher than in the dry season (P < 0.01). These differences can be attributed to the CP contents of the diet consumed in the two seasons of the year (van Soest 1994). Brokaw *et al.* (2001) found higher ruminal concentrations of N-NH₃ in the

Table 3. *In situ* ruminal degradation indicators and effective degradation of the dry matter of the diet of grazing cattle in two seasons of the year

Indicator —	Sea	ison	· SE±	Sia
	Dry	Rainy	SE^{\pm}	Sig
a (%)	17.3	22.4	0.77	**
b (%)	42.7	54.3	0.64	**
c (%/h)	2.3	4.5	0.09	**
a + b (%)	60.0	76.7	0.97	**
ED (%)	39.6	56.8	0.96	**
**P<0.01				

Table 4. *In situ* ruminal protein degradation indicators of the grazing cattle diet in two seasons of the year

Indicator	Season		CE	C :-
Indicator	Dry	Rainy	- SE±	Sig
a (%)	25.8	33.4	0.93	**
b (%)	43.0	47.4	0.52	**
c (%/h)	3.6	5.2	0.03	**
a + b (%)	68.8	80.8	0.74	**
ED (%)	47.9	61.7	0.83	**
**P<0.01				

 Table 5. In situ ruminal NDF degradation fractions of the cattle diet in two seasons of the year

Indicator	Sea	Season		Ci
Indicator	Dry	Rainy	SE±	Sig
A (%)	2.4	5.5	0.66	**
B (%)	37.4	48.4	1.39	**
c (%/h)	2.1	3.4	0.03	*
A+B (%)	39.8	54.0	1.95	**
ED (%)	23.1	33.8	1.10	**
*P < 0.05 **P	< 0.01			

rainy season (6.6 mg/dL) in grazing cattle. In this study, the concentrations of acetic and butyric acids in the dry period were higher than in the rainy period (P < 0.01). However, the concentrations of propionic acid in the dry season were lower than in the rainy season (P < 0.01). These differences could be due to the structural carbohydrate contents of the diet consumed by the animals (Mendoza *et al.* 2000). The concentration of acetic, propionic and butyric acids coincide with those reported by Choat *et al.* (2003). Short chain VFA concentrations were higher in the rainy season (P < 0.01). VFA are increased with growth and flowering,

and decline with grassland maturity (van Soest 1994).

Blood metabolites. GLU concentrations were higher in the rainy season (P < 0.01) (table 7). Probably, the best quality of the diet consumed by the animals during this period, could account for these differences. The diet supplied in this stage greater amount of gluconeogenic cofactors, as the propionic acid and the protein degraded in the rumen (van Soest 1994). However, the GLU concentrations observed in the two seasons of the year were maintained in normal concentrations (45 to 75 mg/dL) for beef cattle (Kaneko *et al.* 1997). In grazing steers, Hersom *et al.* (2004) found similar

 Table 6. Values of ruminal pH, ammonia nitrogen concentrations and volatile fatty acids of the diet of grazing cattle in two seasons of the year

Indicator	Season		- SE±	C:-
Indicator	Dry	Rainy	5E±	Sig
pН	6.6	6.3	0.95	*
$N-NH_3$ (mg/dL)	4.7	11.5	1.27	**
Total VFA (mmol)	68.3	71.4	1.16	*
mol/100 mol				
Acetic	66.4	63.8	0.98	**
Propionic	13.8	16.6	0.73	**
Butyric	7.1	4.8	1.10	**
A:P	4.8	3.8	1.32	NS
Short chain VFA ¹	12.3	15.7	0.43	**

¹Isobutyric + isovaleric + valeric

*P < 0.05 **P < 0.01

Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science, Volume 46, Number 4, 2012.

Indicator	Season		OF 1	C :-
	Dry	Rainy	SE±	Sig
Glucose (mg/dL)	49.1	71.1	1.81	**
Urea-N (mg/dL)	6.1	9.3	0.11	**
NSFA (mmol/L)	0.13	0.13	0.09	*

Table 7. Concentrations of blood metabolites in grazing cattle in two seasons of the year

GLU concentrations (69.4 mg/dL) in the rainy season. Also the UN concentration was higher in the rainy season compared to the dry season (P < 0.01). The UN concentrations recorded in both seasons of the year were lower to those found by Waterman *et al.* (2006) (10.5 mg/dL) in grazing cattle. However, they agree with the UN concentrations found by Romero *et al.* (2007) in grazing cattle during the dry season (6.4 mg/dL). Arias and Nesti (1999) consider as normal the NU concentrations of 10 to 14.8 mg/dL, in bovine serum. However, Sowell *et al.* (2003) indicate that UN concentrations in blood, lower than 7 mg/dL, show protein deficiencies as consequence of low consumption of RDP. NSFA concentrations were higher in the dry season regarding the rainy (P < 0.05).

Regularly, blood concentrations of NSFA increase with the advance of forage maturity and serve as an alternative energy source for grazing cattle, when they show negative energy balances o are under caloric stress conditions (Abeni *et al.* 2004), although the NSFA function in the maintenance of the caloric homeostasis is not well defined (Bowden 1971). The NSFA concentrations registered were higher than those obtained by McCraken *et al.* (1993) (0.110 mmol/L) in grazing steers. The differences in the energy content of the diet selected by the animals could account for this result.

It is concluded that the season of the year influences significantly on the nutritive quality and degradation of the diet, as well as in the blood concentrations of GLU, UN and NSFA in free grazing cattle. Nutrient deficiencies were recorded in the dry season, though feeding supplementation to the animals, mainly protein and energy during this period of the year, is suggested.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to the National Council of Science and Technology and to the Government of Durango State through the Mixed Fund (FOMIX) for carrying out this study, in line with the project DGO-2007-COI-65559.

References

- Abdelhadi L.O. & Santini, F.J. 2006. Corn silage versus grain sorghum silage as a supplement to growing steers grazing high quality pastures: Effects on performance and ruminal fermentation. Anim. Feed Sci Tech.127:33
- Abeni, F., Bergoglio G., Masoero, G.,. Terzano, G.M. &

Allegrini, S. 2004. Plasma hormones and metabolites in Piedmontese cows during late preganancy; Relationships with calf brth weight. J. Anim. Sci. 82:438

- AFRC. 1993. Energy and Protein Requirements of Ruminants. In: G. Alderman and B.R. Cottrill. An Advisory manual prepared by AFRC Technical Committee on Responses to Nutrients. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Pp. 134-139
- Andrade, A.G., Gonzalez, G.F.J., Carrete, C.F., Vázquez, V.S., Jiménez, O.R. & Hernández, M.L. 2009. Calidad de la dieta de bovinos en cuatro sitios del estado de Durango en la época sequía. Memorias XLV Reunión Nacional Pecuaria. Saltillo (Coah). México. p. 202
- AOAC. 1999. Official Methods of Analysis (16th Ed.) Ass. Off. Anal. Chem. 13a Ed. Washington, D. C. USA
- Arias, J & Nesti A. 1999. Relevance of blood and milk urea-N levels in dairy cattle. Rev. Facultad de Agronomía. LUZ -Universidad de Zulia 16:553
- Bhatti, S.A., Bowman, J.G.P., Firkins, J.L., Grove, A.V. & Hunt, C.V. 2008. Effect of intake level and alfalfa substitution for grass hay on ruminal kinetics of fiber digestion and particle passage in beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 86:134
- Bowden, D.M. 1971. Non-esterified fatty acids and ketone bodies in blood as indicators of nutritional status in riminants: A review. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 51:11
- Brokaw L.B., Hess, W. & Rule, D.C. 2001. Supplemental soybean oil or corn for beef heifers grazing summer pasture: effects on forage intake, ruminal fermentation and site and extent of digestion. J Anim Sci. 79:2704
- Cline, H.J., Neville B.W., Lardy, G.P & Caton, J. S. 2009. Influence of advancing season on dietary composition, intake, site of digestion and microbial efficiency in beef steers grazing a native range in western North Dakota. J. Anim. Sci. 87: 375
- Choat WT, Krehbiel C.R., Duff G.C., Kirksey R.E., Lauriault, L.M. & Rivera, J.D. 2003. Influence of grazing dormant native range or winter pasture on subsequent finishing cattle performance, carcass characteristics and ruminal metabolism. J. Anim. Sci. 81:3191
- Elías, A., Ruiz, T., Castillo, E., Hernández, J.B. & Herrera, F.R. 2006. Effect of the creeping legumes increase in a native grassland on the fermentation and nitrogen fractions in the rumen of grazing bulls. Cuban J. Agric. Sci. 40: 269
- Flores, R., Coblentz, W.K., Ogden, R.K., Coffey, K.P., Loper, M.L., West, C.P. & Rosenkrans, C.F. 2007. Effects of fescue type and sampling date on the ruminal disappearance kinetics of autumn-stockpiled tall fescue. J. Dairy. Sci. 90:2883
- Foster, J.J., Muir J.P., Lambert, B.D. & Pawelek, D. 2007. *In situ* and *in vitro* degradation of native Texas warm-season legumes and alfalfa in goats and steers fed a sorghum-sudan basal diet. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 133: 228
- Franco, L.J., De la Cruz, A.G., Cruz, G.A., Rocha, R.A.,

Navarrete, S.N., Flores, M.G., Kato, M.E., Sanchez, C.S., Abarca, A.L.G., Bedia, S.C. M. & Winfield, A.L. 1985. Manual de Ecología. Ed. Trillas. México

- Funk, M.A., Galyean, M.L., Branine, M.E. & Krysl, L.J. 1987. Steers grazing blue grama rangeland and throughout the growing season. I. Dietary composition, intake, digesta kinetic and ruminal fermentation. J Anim Sci. 65: 1342
- Hersom, M.J., Wettemann R.C., Krehbiel, C.R., Horn, G.W.
 & Kesiler, D. H. 2004. Effect of live weight gain of steers during winter grazing: III. Blood metabolites and hormones during feedlot finishing. J. Anim. Sci. 82:2059
- Huntington, G.B. & Burns, J.C. 2007. Afternoon harvest increases readily fermentable carbohydrate concentration and voluntary intake of gamagrass and switchgrass baleage by beef steers. J. Anim. Sci. 85: 276
- INEGI 2003. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Anuario Estadístico del Estado de Durango. México
- Jordon, D.J., Klopfenstein, T.J. & Adams, D.C. 2002. Dried poultry waste for cows grazing low-quality winter forage. J. Anim. Sci. 80:818
- Juárez, R.A.S., Nevarez, C.G., Cerrillo, S.M.A., Murillo, O.M., Luginbuhl J.M., Bernal B. H. & and Ramirez. R.G. 2008. Dietary Chemicals composition plasma metabolites and hormones in range goats. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 34:81
- Kaneko, J.J., Harvey, J.W. & Bruss, M.L. 1997. Clinical biochemistry of domestic animals. 5th Ed. Academic Press. San Diego, California. USA
- Khazaal, K., Dentinho, M.T., Ribeiro, J.M. & Orskov, E.R. 1995. Prediction of apparent digestibility and voluntary intake of hay fed to sheep: comparison between characteristics of gas production or nylon bag degradation. Anim. Sci. 61:527
- Litell, R.C., Miliken, G.A., Stroup, W.W. & Wolfinger, R.D. 1996. SAS system for mixed models. Cary NC. SAS Institute
- McCracken, B.A., Krysl L.J., Park, K.K., Holcombe, D.W. & Judkins, M.B. 1993. Steers garzin Endophyte-free tall fescue: Seasonal Changes in nutrient quality forage intake. Digesta kinetics, ruminal fermentation and serum hormones and metabolites. J. Anim. Sci. 71:1588
- Mendoza R.A., Judkins, M.B., Ackerman, C.J., Balok, C.C., Holcombe, D.W. & Bruce, L.B. 2000. Pretanned leather shavings in a supplement mixture for steers. II. Digesta kinetics, ruminal fermentation and grazing behavior in steers grazing dormant wheatgrass pasture. J Anim. Sci.78: 735
- Obeidat, B.S., Thomas, M.G., Hallford, D.M., Keisler, D.H., Petersen, M.K., Bryant, W.D., Garcia, M.D., Narro, L. & Lopez, R. 2002. Metabolic characteristics of multiparous Angus and Brahman cows grazing in the Chihuahua desert. J. Anim. Sci. 80:2223
- Ogden, R.K., Coblentz, W.K., Coffey, K.P., Turner, J.E., Scarbrough, D.A., Jennings, J.A. & Richardson, M.D. 2005. Ruminal *in situ* disappearance kinetics of dry matter and fiber in growing steers for common crabgrass forages sampled on seven dates in northern Arkansas. J. Anim. Sci. 83: 1142
- Orskov, E.R. & McDonald, P. 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weigh according to rate of passage. J. Agric. Sci. Cambr. 92:499
- Peyro, R.M. 2006. Variabilidad estacional de la degradabilidad *in situ* de la proteína de la dieta seleccionada por ganado bovino en pastoreo y su aplicación a un sistema de proteína metabolizable. Master Thesis. Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia. México

Preston, T.R. & Leng, R.A. 1990. Ajustando los sistemas de producción pecuaria a los recursos disponibles: Aspectos básicos y aplicados del nuevo enfoque sobre la nutrición en el trópico. Círculo de Impresores Ltda. Cali, Colombia. 312 pp.

Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science, Volume 46, Number 4, 2012.

- Ramírez, R.G., Haenlen, G.F.W., García-Castillo, C.G. & Nuñez-Gonzáles, M.A. 2004. Protein, lignin and mineral contents and *in situ* dry matter digestibility of native Mexican grasses consumed by range goats. Small. Rumin. Res. 52: 261
- Reyes, E.O. 2005. Cinética de la degradación ruminal *in situ* de la dieta consumida por ganado bovino en libre pastoreo en cuatro estaciones del año. Master Thesis. Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia UJED. México
- Romero, E.M., Gutiérrez, E., Bernal, H., Morales, H., Colin, J., Olivares, E., Gutiérrez, O., Torres, V. & Dennis, H. 2007. Seasonability in the concentration of blood metabolites of Charolais and Beefmaster cows grazing Buffel grass in Northeastern Mexico. Cuban J. Agric. Sci. 41:225
- SAS 2003. SAS User's Guide (Release 9.1). SAS Inst, Inc., Cary, NC
- Soltero, S., Villalobos, C. & Fierro, L.C. 1988. Consumo voluntario de forraje en bovinos bajo un sistema de pastoreo continuo y de corta duración en un pastizal amacollado arborescente. XIV Reunión Nacional Pecuaria. México, DF. p. 114
- Sowell, BF., Bowman, J.G.P., Gring, E.E. & MacNeil, M. 2003. Liquid supplement and forage intake by range beef cows. J. Anim Sci. 81: 294
- Van Soest, P.J. 1994. Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. 2nd Ed. Cornell Univ Press. Ithaca. New York, USA
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. & Lewis, B.A. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74:3583
- Villanueva, J. F., Bustamante, J. J., Bonilla, J.A, & Rubio, J.V. 2003. Nutritional value of diet and forage and nutrient intake by grazing cattle of evergreen seasonal forest ecosystem. En: FMVZ-UAY Eds. Proc Sixth International Symposium on the Nutrition Herbivores. Mexico. p. 467
- Waterman, R.C., Grings, E.E., Geary, T.W., Roberts, A.J., Alexander, L.J. & MacNeil, M.D. 2007. Influence of seasonal forage quality on glucose kinetics of young beef cows. J Anim. Sci. 85:2582
- Waterman, R.C., Sawyer, J.E., Mathis, C.P., Hawkins, D.E. Donart, G.B. & Petersen, M.K. 2006. Effects of supplements that contain increasing amounts of metabolizable protein with or without Ca-propionate salt on postpartum interval and nutrients partitioning in young beef cows. J. Anim. Sci. 84:433

Received: June 26, 2011