# Digestion of sweet potato foliage (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) in pigs. Ileal and rectal digestibility of nutrients and energy

Ivonne Díaz<sup>1,2</sup>, C. González<sup>1</sup>, J.L. Reyes<sup>2</sup> y J. Ly<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad Central de Venezuela. El Limón, Maracay, Venezuela <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Porcinas. Punta Brava, La Habana, Cuba Correo electrónico: caraujo2@gmail.com

Two experiments were performed, according to Latin square 4x4, to determine the ileal and rectal digestibility of nutrients and energy in growing Yorkshire x Landrace pigs. They were fed with variable levels (0, 10, 20 and 30 % on dry basis) of sweet potato foliage meal (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam). In experiment 1, four castrated males, of 35 kg and prepared with an ileo-rectal anastomosis, were used. In experiment 2, eight non castrated pigs of 38 kg were used. It was confirmed that the ileal digestibility of DM, organic matter and energy decreased significantly (P < 0.05) with the inclusion of the foliage. However, there were no differences between the treatments with 0 and 10 % of the foliage, nor with 10 and 30 %. The rectal digestibility showed the same fact (P < 0.05) for the DM, organic matter and energy. The ileal and rectal digestibility, measured by difference, were 34.4 and 61.1% for the organic matter of the foliage, and 40.6 and 59.0 % for the energy. From the digestive point of view, the sweet potato foliage meal can be provided to pigs in levels not higher than 30 % of the food, as a source of proteins and minerals in diets of very low amount of fiber.

Key words: pigs, digestion, ileum, nutrients, sweet potato foliage

In another experiment, carried out at the same time, the *in situ* digestibility of the meal of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) foliage was evaluated through the use of the mobile nylon bag, introduced into the duodenum of pigs (Díaz *et al.* 2012). Studies carried out in Venezuela have made determinations of *in vivo* digestibility of this meal of sweet potato foliage, in non castrated animals, at the ileal or rectal level (Arrioja 1995, Romero *et al.* 2002 and González *et al.* 2011).

There are not many evaluations developed in different tropical environments (Domínguez and Ly 1997, Le Van et al. 2004 and Du Than et al. 2009), which would provide information about the digestive processes taking place in different sections of the gastrointestinal tract of pigs fed with products of foliar sweet potato. The nutritional value of the meal resulting from the aerial part of the sweet potato plants has been examined up to the ileum and the rectum (Domínguez and Ly 1997 and Du Than et al. 2009). The nutritional value of leaves of ensiled, dry or fresh sweet potatoes has also been studied up to the ileum and the rectum (Le Van et al. 2004). Other evaluations have compared the ileal and the rectal digestibility of different cultivated varieties of foliage, included as a constant proportion on the diet (Arrioja 1995).

The objective of this study was to determine the ileal and rectal digestibility of nutrients in pigs fed with variable levels of Venezuelan sweet potato foliage meal. The digestibility of N and amino acids is not stated in this study becaused it has been informed in other references (Díaz *et al.* 2013).

## **Materials and Methods**

Two experiments were performed, according to Latin square 4x4, to determine the ileal and rectal digestibility

of nutrients and energy in growing Yorkshire x Landrace pigs, fed with variable levels (0, 10, 20 and 30 % on a dry basis) of sweet potato foliage meal (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam). Table 1 shows the chemical composition of a representative sample, through the quartering method, and the details related to studied diets.

The sweet potato foliage came from plantations located in the Faculty of Agronomy, and it was harvested only once, using periodically cut crops. It came from different cultivated varieties that were mixed conveniently in the same proportion, to be used as a unique lot. The foliage was constituted by the aerial part of the plant (Díaz *et al.* 2012 and 2013). The cultivated varieties were UCV-2, UCV-5, UCV-7, UCV-8, Carolina, Catemaco and Topera (Arrioja 1995). It came from leaves and petioles, and it was dried under roof. Later, it was ground to obtain a meal that was mixed with the rest of the dry ingredients for being later provided to the animals.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the chemical composition of the diets and of the foliage used. The diets were, approximately, iso-proteic and iso-energetic.

In both experiments, pigs of commercial crossings, mainly Yorkshire x Landrace, castrated males, were used. In experiment 1, four animals, of 35 kg and prepared with an ileo-rectal anastomosis, were used (Domínguez *et al.* 2000). In experiment 2, other eight non castrated pigs were used, without anastomosis. The surgically prepared animals were used after a recovery period of two weeks. During this recovery period, as well as during the experimental stage, the pigs were kept in metabolism cages, in a room without temperature conditioning. Likewise, the non castrated pigs were kept in individual pens or metabolism cages during the period of adaptation and excretion recollection. The metabolism

| Ingredients                           | Sweet potato foliage, % |       |       |       |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
|                                       | 0                       | 10    | 20    | 30    |  |  |
| Corn meal                             | 82.60                   | 74.34 | 66.08 | 57.82 |  |  |
| Soy meal                              | 13.75                   | 12.38 | 11.00 | 9.63  |  |  |
| Sweet potato foliage meal             | -                       | 10.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 |  |  |
| CaCO <sub>3</sub>                     | 0.85                    | 0.77  | 0.68  | 0.60  |  |  |
| CaPO <sub>4</sub> H.2H <sub>2</sub> O | 1.15                    | 1.02  | 0.92  | 0.81  |  |  |
| NaCl                                  | 0.85                    | 0.77  | 0.68  | 0.54  |  |  |
| Premixture <sup>1</sup>               | 0.80                    | 0.72  | 0.64  | 0.60  |  |  |

Tabla 1. Diet ingredients (per cent on a dry basis)

<sup>1</sup>Vitamins and trace elements, according to NRC (2012)

Table 2. Chemical composition of experimental diets and of the meal of sweet potato foliage (per cent on a dry basis)

| Indicator -             |       | Sweet potato |       |       |         |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|---------|
|                         | 0     | 10           | 20    | 30    | foliage |
| Dry matter              | 89.51 | 89.92        | 90.33 | 90.74 | 91.20   |
| Ashes                   | 6.21  | 7.00         | 7.80  | 8.58  | 14.36   |
| Organic matter          | 93.79 | 93.00        | 92.20 | 91.42 | 85.64   |
| Crude fiber             | 3.64  | 5.17         | 6.69  | 8.21  | 19.36   |
| ADF                     | 5.01  | 7.24         | 9.48  | 11.72 | 22.40   |
| NDF                     | 15.00 | 16.68        | 18.36 | 20.04 | 32.31   |
| Lignin                  | 0.84  | 2.94         | 3.35  | 4.62  | 12.79   |
| Cellulose               | 4.17  | 5.14         | 6.13  | 7.10  | 9.70    |
| Hemicellulose           | 10.00 | 9.44         | 8.88  | 8.32  | 9.91    |
| Crude protein, N x 6.25 | 14.00 | 14.00        | 14.00 | 14.00 | 15.50   |
| Gross energy, kJ/g DM   | 17.87 | 17.78        | 17.71 | 17.64 | 17.08   |

cages were locally built and they contained a feeding trough and a water drinking nipple.

The level of feed intake was 0.08 g DM/kg LW<sup>075</sup>. It was offered to animals in a single ration, at 9:00 am in the case of the ileo-rectostomized pigs, while the non castrated animals were fed at 8:00 am and 6:00 pm. The food was wetted with water, in a 2:1 proportion, according to weight. The drinking water was always available. Each experimental period had five days for adapting to diet, and two days for sampling in the ileo-rectostomized animals. For the non castrated animals, the period of the collection of fecal material lasted two days, after five days of cages adaptation and seven of diet adjustments.

The details of the procedure for collecting ileal digesta during two consecutive days have been described by Ly *et al.* (1998). In experiment 2, a representative fecal sample was prepared from the faeces collected for five days. The samples of ileal digesta, as the excretions, were mixed and homogenized before storing them at -5 °C until the moment of the analysis.

The analysis carried out to food, ileal digesta and excretions were practiced, at least, by duplicate. The determination of DM was made in aliquots of fresh samples (AOAC 2005). The rest of the sample was dried at 60 °C in forced air ovens, for being ground afterwards. In the dried samples, the ashes and crude fiber was measured by known procedures (AOAC 2005), while the gross energy was determined in an adiabatic calorimetric pump. The determination of the different fractions of the cellular wall, NDF, ADF and lignin was made according to the scheme of solubility in detergent solutions of van Soest *et al.* (1991). The content of hemicellulose was considered to be a result from subtracting the value of ADF from the NDF, and the content of cellulose resulted from the substracting the concentration of lignin from the ADF.

The ileal and retal apparent digestibility was estimated according to recommendations made by Schneider and Flatt (1975) and Adeola (2001). Besides, the digestibility of nutrients and energy of the sweet potato foliage was calculated as such, at the level of the ileum and rectum, through the method of difference (Crampton and Harris 1969). The analysis of variance was used for contrasting the means (Steel *et al.* 1997). When it resulted to be significant (P < 0.05), the comparison of these means was made by the Duncan test (1955). The statistical package of SAS (2000) was used for analyzing the information.

# Results

The sweet potato foliage was evaluated according to the presence of antitryptic factors, by the method proposed by Martínez and Domínguez (2009). There was no presence of these factors in any circumstance.

*Experiment 1.* The surgically prepared animals maintained a good health during all the experiment. They were positively balanced in their live weight. At the end of the evaluation, the necropsy of these pigs did not reveal any anomaly that could imply changes in the examined digestive processes.

Table 3 shows data about the ileal digestibility of nutrients and energy. It was confirmed that the ileal digestibility of DM, organic matter and energy decreased significantly (P < 0.05) with the inclusion of the foliage, but there were no differences between the treatments with 0 and 10 % of the foliage, nor between 10 and 30 %. There was no significant effect of the treatment on the ileal digestibility of crude protein, NDF and cellulose, but there was a significant effect (P < 0.05) for the ileal digestibility of ADF and hemicellulose.

Table 4 shows the evaluations of the ileal digestibility of nutrients and energy of the sweet potato foliage *per se*. There was no significant effect of the treatment on

the evaluated indicators, therefore, the their mean value was calculated.

*Experiment 2.* Table 5 shows data corresponding to the rectal digestibility. The rectal digestibility behaved like the ileal digestibility (P < 0.05), for the digestibility of DM, organic matter and energy. Similar to the information about the ileal digestibility, the effect of the treatment on the rectal digestibility of the fraction that would identify the non amylaceous polysaccharides was variable. There was no significant effect of the treatment on the rectal digestibility of crude protein, NDF and cellulose, but there was a significant effect (P < 0.05) for the rectal digestibility of ADF and hemicellulose.

Table 6 shows data about digestibility of nutrients and energy within the rectum for the sweet potato foliage, when they were estimated by difference. There was no significant effect of the treatment on the rectal digestibility for the studied indicators, therefore, like in the case of the ileal digestibility, the mean value of the three treatments was determined in all the opportunities.

The disappearance of nutrients and energy of diets was calculated from the data from experiments 1 and 2. Table 7 shows the results of the estimations.

| Table 3. Ileal digestibility | of nutrients in | ı pigs fed | with | variable | levels | of meal | of sweet p | ootato |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------|----------|--------|---------|------------|--------|
| foliage                      |                 |            |      |          |        |         |            |        |

|                  | — SE ±              |                     |                     |                    |              |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Digestibility, % | 0                   | 10                  | 20                  | 30                 | $-$ SE $\pm$ |
| Dry matter       | 76.52ª              | 72.09 <sup>ab</sup> | 67.03 <sup>b</sup>  | 67.96 <sup>b</sup> | 2.76*        |
| Organic matter   | 79.51ª              | 75.77 <sup>ab</sup> | 69.82 <sup>b</sup>  | 70.53 <sup>b</sup> | 2.66*        |
| Crude fiber      | 16.61               | 22.49               | 24.61               | 29.55              | 4.74         |
| ADF              | 18.08 <sup>b</sup>  | 20.95b              | 32.90 <sup>ab</sup> | 40.18 <sup>a</sup> | 6.95*        |
| NDF              | 39.95               | 42.92               | 43.98               | 48.06              | 4.90         |
| Celullose        | 16.10               | 17.41               | 22.95               | 24.22              | 3.75         |
| Hemicellulose    | 66.31 <sup>ab</sup> | 67.50ª              | 65.07 <sup>ab</sup> | 59.09 <sup>b</sup> | 2.06*        |
| Energy           | 78.84ª              | 74.31 <sup>ab</sup> | 70.01 <sup>b</sup>  | 70.88 <sup>b</sup> | 2.45*        |

 $^{abc}$  Means  $% ^{abc}$  Means with different letter in the same line differ significantly(P < 0.05) among them, according to Duncan (1955)

\*P < 0.05

Table 4. Ileal digestibility of nutrients and energy of sweet potato foliage *per se* in pigs, estimated by difference

|                  |       | - SE ± |       |                |            |
|------------------|-------|--------|-------|----------------|------------|
| Digestibility, % | 10    | 20     | 30    | $\mathbf{x}^1$ | $- SE \pm$ |
| n                | 4     | 4      | 4     | -              | -          |
| Dry matter       | 33.75 | 31.67  | 38.84 | 34.76          | 3.61       |
| Organic matter   | 46.65 | 34.11  | 22.64 | 34.47          | 10.02      |
| Crude fiber      | 16.23 | 21.25  | 29.55 | 22.35          | 6.39       |
| ADF              | 8.04  | 32.89  | 40.18 | 27.04          | 14.58      |
| NDF              | 69.60 | 60.10  | 66.97 | 65.56          | 4.55       |
| Celullose        | 29.20 | 60.75  | 43.17 | 44.37          | 13.08      |
| Hemicellulose    | 58.20 | 60.15  | 42.33 | 53.56          | 8.06       |
| Gross energy     | 53.11 | 39.11  | 29.50 | 40.67          | 10.94      |

<sup>1</sup>Average of the three treatments

|                  |                    | SE ±                |                     |                    |       |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Digestibility, % | 0                  | 10                  | 20                  | 30                 |       |
| Dry matter       | 85.01ª             | 81.77 <sup>ab</sup> | 79.93 <sup>bc</sup> | 77.56°             | 2.02* |
| Organic matter   | 86.52ª             | 83.52 <sup>b</sup>  | 81.86 <sup>bc</sup> | 79.63°             | 2.01* |
| Crude fiber      | 30.40              | 30.01               | 30.33               | 31.92              | 3.33  |
| ADF              | 28.08 <sup>b</sup> | 30.95 <sup>b</sup>  | 32.90 <sup>b</sup>  | 40.18 <sup>a</sup> | 4.07* |
| NDF              | 57.11              | 51.91               | 53.98               | 59.22              | 3.80  |
| Celullose        | 30.15              | 31.15               | 32.51               | 33.00              | 3.51  |
| Hemicellulose    | 71.70 <sup>b</sup> | 71.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 76.47 <sup>ab</sup> | 86.18ª             | 6.05* |
| Gross energy     | 81.42 <sup>a</sup> | 79.07 <sup>ab</sup> | 77.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 73.37°             | 2.21* |

Tabla 5. Rectal digestibility of nutrients in pigs fed with variable levels of meal of sweet potato foliage

<sup>abc</sup> Means with different letter in the same line differ significantly (P < 0.05) among them, according to Duncan (1955)

\*P < 0.05

Table 6. Rectal digestibility of nutrients of sweet potato foliage in pigs, estimated by difference

|                  | M     | SE±   |       |                |       |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
| Digestibility, % | 10    | 20    | 30    | $\mathbf{X}^1$ | SE =  |
| n                | 8     | 8     | 8     | -              | -     |
| Dry matter       | 52.60 | 59.60 | 60.16 | 57.45          | 3.50  |
| Organic matter   | 56.50 | 63.25 | 63.56 | 61.10          | 3.55  |
| Crude fiber      | 26.50 | 30.05 | 35.47 | 30.67          | 3.68  |
| ADF              | 56.89 | 52.20 | 65.52 | 58.17          | 6.60  |
| NDF              | 72.60 | 77.90 | 76.27 | 75.59          | 3.06  |
| Celullose        | 40.10 | 41.90 | 39.63 | 40.54          | 2.52  |
| Hemicellulose    | 94.70 | 95.55 | 99.96 | 96.40          | 11.01 |
| Gross energy     | 57.90 | 59.30 | 60.03 | 59.07          | 1.20  |

<sup>1</sup> average of the three treatments

Tabla 7. Disappearance of nutrients and energy with variable levels of the meal of sweet potato foliage in the intestine of pigs (experiments 1 and 2)

|                 | Meal of sweet potato foliage, % |       |       |       |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Digestibility % | 0                               | 10    | 20    | 30    |  |  |
| Dry matter      | 8.49                            | 9.68  | 12.90 | 9.60  |  |  |
| Organic matter  | 7.01                            | 7.75  | 12.04 | 9.10  |  |  |
| Crude fiber     | 14.30                           | 7.52  | 5.72  | 2.37  |  |  |
| ADF             | 10.00                           | 10.00 | -     | -     |  |  |
| NDF             | 17.16                           | 8.99  | 10.00 | 11.16 |  |  |
| Celullose       | 14.05                           | 13.74 | 9.66  | 8.78  |  |  |
| Hemicellulose   | 5.39                            | 5.50  | 11.40 | 27.09 |  |  |
| Gross energy    | 2.58                            | 4.76  | 6.99  | 2.49  |  |  |

#### Discussion

The presence of anti-nutritional factors with antitryptic characteristics is known, not only in the sweet potato roots, but also in the foliage (Ly 2009). This could have a negative effect on the digestive use of the sweet potatoes and on the diet in general. This negative effect was not confirmed in this research.

*Ileal digestive of nutrients.* The introduction of growing levels of sweet potato foliage meal decreased

the ileal digestibility of nutrients in growing pigs, like it was stated with the meal of foliage of Cuban (Domínguez y Ly 1997), Vietnamese (Du Than *et al.* 2009) and Guadeloupian (Régnier *et al.* 2011) sweet potato. This has also happened with the meal of plant leaves (Le Van *et al.* 2004). In Venezuela, Arrioja (1995) previously stated that if the sweet potato foliage in meal should be included at 15 % in a conventional diet of maize/soybean, the ileal digestibility of organic matter could vary between 49.8 and 70.7 %, depending on the

variety, when the nutritional value of seven varieties was compared. In the evaluation of Arrioja (1995), the content of dietary NDF was 23.2 % in the maize/soybean, without foliage. However, in those with foliage, the NDF value was between 31.1 and 44.9 %. It could be inferred that the content of cell wall was related to these results, like it could has happened in this study and others similar, carried out with products from the aerial part of the sweet potatoes (Le Van *et al.* 2004 and Du Than *et al.* 2009). It is known the effect of the cell wall on the digestive processes of pigs, particularly in pre-caecal areas (Bach Knudsen and Hansen 1991 and Jorgensen *et al.* 1996).

The consequences of including important levels of foliages in diets for pigs have been discussed in different times (Wenk 2001, Degen *et al.* 2007, Bindelle *et al.* 2008 and Bach Knudsen 2011). It is known that not only the proportion of fibrous fractions, but also its structure and chemical and physical properties, can influence significantly on the ileal and rectal digestibility of nutrients, mainly on growing pigs, which have not still reached the total development of their large intestine. This study has confirmed results obtained in Cuba about the effect of the sweet potato foliage on ileal digestibility of nutrients. At the same time, it was confirmed that an important part of cellulose and hemicellulose degrade before these entities arrive to the caecum of the individuals.

It was confirmed that when up to 30 % of the sweet potato foliage was included in the diet of pigs, the ileal digestibility of organic matter and energy might decrease, approximately, from 79.5 to 70.5 %, and from 79.8 to 70.8 %, respectively, when conventional diets are used, like the one formulated in this experiment. Higher levels of inclusion should not be expected, at least in growing pigs, if it is considered that the sweet potato foliage meal would be used probably as a source of protein and amino acids.

*Rectal digestion of nutrients*. Data of rectal digestibility of nutrients reported in this experiment generally agree with those of other evaluations carried out with the same type of sweet potato foliage, in situ and in vitro (Díaz et al. 2012 and 2013). Likewise, these data coincide with others, as to the rectal digestibility, which is slightly higher than the ileal digestibility in the different evaluated nutrients, like other experiments with sweet potato foliage (Domínguez and Ly 1997, Le Van et al. 2004 and Régnier et al. 2011). It is important to mention that the level of inclusion of foliage in the diet could also cause changes in the rectal digestibility of pigs, which could be inversely proportional to the inclusion level (Wenk 2001 and Bindelle et al. 2008). Other sources of variability could be the of sweet potato variety (Arrioja 1995) or the animal genotype (Ly et al. 2003 and Nin Thi et al. 2009). These last two factors were not taken into consideration for carrying out this research.

The available results about the rectal digestibility

of diets of sweet potato foliage do not seem coherent, which could be because different types and levels of foliage have been tested, in fresh, ensiled or meal shape, as well as different levels of inclusion in the diet. The way of processing the sweet potato foliage could also explain some of the differences in the rectal digestibility of pigs (Nguyen Nhut Xuan et al. 2002, Hoang Huong et al. 2004, Chittavong and Preston 2006, Du Than et al. 2009). Likewise, it is difficult to compare the available experimental results because there is more information related to the digestibility of nutrients in diets that contain sweet potato foliage, regarding the foliage per se, which is generally determined by difference (Crampton and Harris 1969), when substituting a substantial fraction of the basic diet by the foliage. It could be suggested that the nutritional value of Indochinese products of foliar sweet potato, superior to the stated in Venezuela and Cuba (Ly and Diéguez 1995 and Domínguez and Ly 1997), could be possibly influenced also, to a certain extent, by the use of only leaves in Indochina, and not leaves and petioles, like in America. In this regard, the petioles have higher content of fiber than leaves (Le Van et al. 2003), so they can effectively diminish the rectal digestibility of nutrients in pigs (Wenk 2001 and Bindelle et al. 2008). Not using the petioles could increase the nutritional value of the sweet potato foliage, but this could also diminish the yield of biomass (González 1994 and Le Van et al. 2003). More studies on the rectal digestibility of nutrients in pigs fed with different products of sweet potato foliage are needed.

Digestion in the large intestine. As long as the digestion in the large intestine is considered as the difference between the rectal and the ileal digestion, the values of this process will be subjected to the changes that could occur in the digestion up to the ileum and up to the rectum. In this study, the decrease of the ileal digestibility, determined by the growing inclusion of sweet potato foliage in the diet, was followed by a minor disappearance of the caecum and the colon in pigs. This was evident due to the decrease of the rectal digestibility of DM and different nutrients, which was also verified in studies by Domínguez and Ly (1997). Du Than et al. (2009) also stated a very poor participation of the large intestine in the digestion of nutrients (42 % of all the digested organic matter), but the Large White x Mong Cai pigs showed a low voluntary intake of food. This may have stimulated high digestive rates.

The phenomenon of low participation of the large intestine in the nutrient disappearance, when pigs were fed with sweet potato foliage, could be owed to the difficulty of the microorganisms from the large intestine to develop fermentative processes, making available part of the energy of the fibrous fractions for the animal (Shi and Noblet 1993, Wilfart *et al.* 2007 and Bindelle *et al.* 2008). This could have happened due to the minor retention time of the digesta in the caecum and in the colon, directly influenced by the fiber content in the diet. In this respect, it is known that the presence of fibers in diets diminishes the retention time of the digesta between the ileo-caecal valve and the anal sphincter (Wilfart *et al.* 2007). This, likewise, provokes a decrease of diet digestibility in pigs (Kim *et al.* 2007).

Domínguez and Ly (1997), in an evaluation carried out, confirmed that the fecal excretion of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) in pigs that consumed up to 20 % of sweet potato foliage meal increased from 49 to 104 mmol/kg DM ingested, but the fecal concentration was constant. This could indicate minor retention time of the digesta in the large intestine. However, Nguyen Nhut Xuan et al. (2002) did not notice any changes in the retention of digesta in the entire gastrointestinal tract (32.9/38.9 h), when using Cr for comparing different types of Vietnamese forages. In that respect, Díaz et al. (1997) found a higher speed in the transit to the ileum when pigs consumed between 0 and 30 % of sweet potato foliage meal. Rodríguez (2000) also observed an acceleration of the digesta movement all over the tract with 15 % of the sweet potato foliage. In fact, the mean retention R passed from 32.9 to 22.5 h with 0 or 30 % of sweet potato foliage. It is difficult to compare these results because it is possible that, in the experiment of Nguyen Nut Xuan et al. (2002), all the fibrous sources have accelerated the movement of the digesta through the digestive tract of pigs.

In this research, the sweet potato foliage seems to diminish the participation of the large intestine in the disappearance of nutrients all over the gastrointestinal tract, specifically in some fibrous fractions that characterize the non amylaceous polysaccharides. From the digestive point of view, the meal of sweet potato foliage is suggested to be provided to pigs in levels not higher than 30 % of the feed, as a source of proteins and minerals in diets of very low amount of fiber. Searching for methods to increase efficiency in the digestion of fibrous fractions of the sweet potato foliage could be an attractive line of research for further studies.

## References

- Adeola, O. 2001. Digestion and balance techniques in pigs. In: Swine Nutrition. A.J. Lewis and L.L. Southern, eds. CRC Press (segunda edición). New York. p. 903-916
- AOAC. 2005. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (17th edition) (K. Helrick, editor). Arlington. 1230 pp.
- Arrioja, J. 1995. Determinación de la digestibilidad ileal y fecal aparente del follaje de siete cultivares de batata (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) en cerdos en crecimiento. TEng. Thesis. Universidad Central de Venezuela. Maracay. 45 pp.
- Bach Knudsen, K.E. 2011. Effect of polymeric carbohydrates on growth and development in pigs. J. Animal Sci. 89:1965
- Bach Knudsen, K.E. & Hansen, I. 1991. Gastrointestinal implications in pigs of wheat and oat fractions. 1. Digestibility and bulking properties of polysaccharides and other major constituents. British J. Nut. 65:217
- Bindelle, J., Leterme, P. & Buldgen, A. 2008. Nutritional and environmental consequences of dietary fibre in pig

- Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science, Volume 48, Number 2, 2014 nutrition: a review. Biotechnology, Agronomy and Social Env. 12:69
- Chittavong, M. & Preston, T.R. 2006. Intake and digestibility by pigs fed different levels of sweet potato leaves and water spinach as supplements to a mixture of rice bran and cassava root meal. Livestock Resf. or Rural Devel.18(6). Available: http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd18/6/mal186. htm. Consulted: December 10th, 2010
- Crampton, E.W. & Harris, L.E. 1969. Applied Animal Nutrition. The Use of Feedstuffs in Formulation of Livestock Rations. W.H. Freeman. San Francisco. 753 pp.
- Degen, L., Halas, V. & Babinszky, L. 2007. Effect of dietary fibre on protein and fat digestibility and its consequences on diet formulation for growing and fattening pigs: a review. Acta Agricola Scandinavica. Section A. 57:1
- Díaz, I., González, C. & Ly, J. 1997. Determinación del efecto de inclusión de tres niveles de follaje de batata (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) sobre la velocidad de tránsito hasta el íleon. Archivos Latinoamericanos de Producción Animal, 5 (suplemento 1): 291
- Díaz, I., González, C., Reyes, J.L., Carón, M., Delgado, E. & Ly, J. 2013. Digestion of sweet potato (*Ipomea batatass* (L.) Lam) foliage in pigs. Ileal and fecal *in vitro* digestibility. Cuban J. Agric. Sci. 47:289
- Díaz, I., González, C., Reyes, J.L., Delgado, E. & Ly, J. 2012. Digestion of sweet potato (*Ipomea batatass* (L.) Lam) foliage in pigs. Ileal and fecal *in vitro* digestibility. *In situ* digestibility measured with mobile nylon bags. Cuban J. Agric. Sci. 46:295
- Domínguez, H., Díaz, C. & Reyes, J.L. 2000. Anastomosis ileorrectal término-terminal con colostomía en cerdos. Rev. Computadorizada de Producción Porcina 7(3):54
- Domínguez, P.L. & Ly, J. 1997. An approach to the nutritional value for pigs of sweet potato vines (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam). Livestock Research for Rural Development, 9(2). Available: http://www./cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd92/dom.htm. Consulted: March 28th, 2010
- Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 1:42
- Du Than, H., NguyenQuang, L., Everts, H. & Beynen, H.C. 2009. Ileal and total tract digestibility in growing pigs fed cassava root meal and rice bran with inclusion of cassava leaves, sweet potato vines, duckweed and stylosanthes foliage. Livestock Res. Rural Devel. 21(1). Available: http://www./cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd21/1/hang211.htm. Consulted: July 24th, 2009
- González, C. 1994. Utilización de la batata (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) en la alimentación de cerdos en confinamiento y en pastoreo. PhD Thesis. Universidad Central de Venezuela. Maracay.
- González, C., Díaz, I., Reyes, J.L. & Ly, J. 2011. Ileal and faecal output of materials in pigs fed graded levels of sweet foliage in the diet. Rev. Computadorizada de Producción Porcina 18:195
- Hoang Huong, G., Le Viet, L. & Ogle, B. 2004. Digestibility of dried and ensiled sweet potato roots and vines and their effect on the performance and economic efficiency of F1 crossbred fattening pigs. Livestock Res. Available: http:// www./cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd16/7/giang167.htm. Consulted: April 24th, 2009
- Jorgensen, H., Zhao, X.Q.& Eggum, B.O. 1996. The influence of dietary fibre and environmental temperature on the development of the gastrointestinal tract, digestibility,

- Cuban Journal of Agricultural Science, Volume 48, Number 2, 2014. degree of fermentation in the hind-gut and energy metabolism in pigs. British J. Nut. 75:365
- Kim, B.G., Lindemann, M.D., Cromwell, G.L., Balfogan, A. & Agudelo, J.A. 2007. The correlation between passage rate of digesta and dry matter digestibility in various stages of swine. Livestock Sci. 109:81
- Le Van, A., Frankow-Lindberg, B.E. & Lindberg, J.E. 2003. Effect of harvesting interval and defoliation on yield and chemical composition of leaves, stems and tubers of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L. (Lam) plant parts. Field Crop Research. 82:49
- Le Van, A., Tran Thi Thu, H. & Lindberg, J.E. 2004. Ileal and total tract digestibility in growing pigs fed cassava root meal with inclusion of fresh, dry and ensiled sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) leaves. Animal Feed Sci. Tech. 114:127
- Ly, J. 2009. Boniatos o camotes (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) para alimentar cerdos. Características de la composición química y de los factores antinutricionales. Rev. Computadorizada de Producción Porcina, 16:159
- Ly, J., Chhay, T. & Pok, S. 2003. Balance of N of young Mong Cai and Large White pigs. High fibrous diets based on wheat bran. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 15(1). Available: http://www.lrrd/15/1/ly151.htm. Consulted: October 4th, 2008
- Ly, J. & Diéguez, F.J. 1995. Utilización digestiva de dietas de miel B y altos niveles de fibra en cerdos criollos y CC21. Archivos Latinoamericanos de Producción Animal 3:27
- Martínez, D. & Domínguez, P.L. 2009. Una nota sobre la determinación del factor antitríptico en el tubérculo crudo y cocido de boniato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam). Rev. Computadorizada de Producción Porcina 16:100
- Nguyen Nhut Xuan, D., Luu Huu, M. & Uden, P. 2002. Tropical fibre sources for pigs – digestibility, digesta retention and estimation of fibre digestibility *in vitro*. Animal Feed Sci. Tech. 102:109
- Ni Thi, L., Tonton, H., Ogle, B. & Lindberg, J.E. 2009. Comparison of total tract digestibility, development of visceral organs and digestive tract of Mongcai and Yorkshire x Landrace piglets fed diets with different fibre sources. J. Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition (Berlin) 93:181
- NRC. 2012. Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals. Nutrient Requirements of Swine. National Academy Press. Washington, District of Columbia. 189 pp.
- Régnier, C., Jaguelin, Y., Noblet, J. & Renaudeau, D. 2011 Ileal digestibility of amino acids of cassava, sweet potato, cocoyam and erytrhrina foliages fed to growing pigs. Animal 6:586
- Rodríguez, A. 2000. Efecto de la incorporación de lípidos y zeolita en dietas con follaje de batata (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) sobre la digestibilidad total aparente y la velocidad de tránsito total en cerdos. Eng. Thesis. Universidad Central de Venezuela. Maracay
- Romero, M., Veccionacce, H. & Uzcategui, W. 2002. Determinación de la digestibilidad fecal de dietas con diferentes niveles de follaje de batata (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) y aceite de palma africana (*Elaeis guineensis* J.) en cerdos. Revista Científica de la Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria, La Universidad del Zulia (LUZ), 12(suplemento 2):488
- SAS. 2000. User's Guide. Statistics. Version 8.1. Statistical Analysis System (SAS) Institute. Cary

Schneider, B.H. & Flatt, W.P. 1975. The evaluation of feeds

through digestibility experiments. The University of Georgia Press. Athens. 423 pp.

- Shi, S & Noblet, J. 1993. Contribution of the hindgut to digestion of diets in growing pigs and adult sows: effect of diet composition. Livestock Prod. Sci. 34:237
- Steel, R.G.D., Torrie, J.H. & Dickey, M. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach. MacGraw-Hill Book Company In Company (third edition). New York. 666 pp.
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. & Lewis, B.A. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74:3583
- Wenk, C. 2001. The role of dietary fibre in the digestive physiology of the pig. Animal Feed Science and Tech. 90:21
- Wilfart, A., Montagne, L., Simmins, P.H., Van Milgen, J. & Noblet, J. 2007. Sites of nutrient digestion in growing pigs. Effect of dietary fiber. J. Animal Sci. 85:976

Received: October 13, 2012